

OSCQR Rubric Comparison

| | OSCQR vs. QCTIP | OSCQR vs. QOLT |
|-----------|---|--|
| Criteria | Overall, QCTIP is a lot more specific than OSCQR. | OSCQR has more criteria than QOLT. They both have |
| | OSCQR contains 50 criteria broken into 6 | 6 categories but QOLT has 25 criteria while OSCQR |
| | categories while QCTIP contains 97 criteria | has 50. |
| | broken into 10 categories. | |
| | | Overall it seems like everything that is covered on |
| | QCTIP has a section dedicated to | QOLT is covered on OSCQR. However, there are two |
| | Accessibility/ADA compliance that OSCQR does | criteria from QOLT that I liked that are not on |
| | not have. | OSCQR: |
| | | Ongoing multiple assessment strategies are |
| | I like the breakdown of the categories on QCTIP | used to measure content knowledge, attitudes, |
| | better than on OSCQR. | and skills |
| | | Course optimizes Internet access and effectively |
| | I like that QCTIP has more emphasis on student | engages students in the learning process in a |
| | engagement and fostering a community. I also | variety of ways throughout the course. |
| | think QCTIP does a better job of focusing on | |
| | continuous improvement. | |
| Usability | I like that you can fill out OSCQR online either | I like that you can fill out OSCQR online either on |
| | on Google Drive or using the fillable PDF form. | Google Drive or using the fillable PDF form. |
| | | |
| | They both have different uses. QCTIP is | Both rubrics are non-evaluative which means that |
| | evaluative while OSCQR is not. This means that | neither results in a score. |

| | T | |
|---------|---|--|
| | using QCTIP requires the reviewer to actually | |
| | add up a final score. | QOLT seems simpler than OSCQR since the rating |
| | | categories are so well defined. |
| | QCTIP is longer and will this probably take more | |
| | time to fill out than OSCQR. | OSCQR has the Action Plan section for making recommendations while QOLT does not. I think that |
| | OSCQR has the Action Plan section for making | section is helpful and is definitely an advantage of |
| | recommendations while QCTIP does not. I think | OSCQR. |
| | that section is helpful and is definitely an | |
| | advantage of OSCQR. | OSCQR has the "Need ideas?" links with examples |
| | | and QOLT has the CELT with examples. |
| | I like that OSCQR has the "Need ideas?" links | • |
| | with examples. Examples are something that are | |
| | missing from QCTIP. | |
| Clarity | In general, I think the language used in QCTIP is | In general, I think the language used in QOLT is |
| | clearer than the language used in OSCQR. | simpler than the language used in OSCQR. |
| | | |
| | They both suffer from a clarity issue in terms of | QOLT has a page of instructions which is very |
| | their rating scale. OSCQR uses a Sufficiently | helpful. |
| | Present/Minor Revision/Moderate | 1 |
| | Revision/Major Revision while QCTIP | The categories of the rating scale for QOLT |
| | Emerging/Accomplished/Exemplary scale. This | (Baseline/Effective/Exemplary) are clearly defined. |
| | different rating categories are not very clearly | This is an advantage QOLT has over OSCQR. |
| | defined so it can be difficult to determine if | This is an davantage QUET has ever USUQIN. |
| | something needs minor vs. moderate revision or | |
| | should be rated as exemplary or accomplished. | |
| | | |
| | | |

| | Neither from has instructions written on it which can | |
|-------------|---|--|
| | be slightly confusing because it means you have to | |
| | look up the instructions separately. | |
| Flexibility | I think both rubrics are sufficiently flexible and | I think both rubrics are sufficiently flexible and can |
| | can be applied to a wide range of classes. | be applied to a wide range of classes. |

Recommendations for OSCQR:

Add instructions. Instructions should include clear definitions for each of the ratings scales.

Add criteria to assess ADA compliance.

Bring over some criteria from the Class Discussion and Engagement and Building Community sections of QCTIP. The Interaction section of OSCQR is kind of weak and can use more criteria to assess engagement and how well the course fosters a community.

Most of the criteria on OSCQR are student focused. It would be helpful to have additional criteria to assess the instructor's role like in QCTIP.

OSCQR does a great job of assessing multiple types of feedback but it does not say how that feedback should be used and it only seems to collect feedback from students. Add criteria to assess how student feedback is used. Also add criteria for feedback from instructor.

Change section at bottom from Overall Narrative to Additional Comments. I think that will make the purpose of that section clearer.